

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,610	04/01/2002	Shuji Takana	1422-0528P	3076	
2292	7590 03/10/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH &	DOUYON, LORNA M			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1751		

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/089,610	TAKANA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lorna M. Douyon	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 April 2002</u> .						
•	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	,					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
apei Notomin Date	5, <u>—</u> — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —					

Application/Control Number: 10/089,610 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Atkinson et al. (EP 0,221,776), hereinafter "Atkinson".

Application/Control Number: 10/089,610

Art Unit: 1751

Atkinson teaches a process for the production of a powder suitable for use as a granular detergent composition or component thereof which comprises the steps of preparing an aqueous slurry comprising sodium carbonate and sodium sulphate in a weight ratio of sodium carbonate to sodium sulphate of at least 0.03:1 and an effective amount of a crystal growth modifier, generally from 0.1 to 20% by weight, preferably 0.2 to 5% by weight based on the total amount of sodium carbonate and sodium sulphate, drying the slurry to form a powder, optionally incorporating into the dried powder one or more detergent components in liquid form and/or mixing the dried powder with one or more solid detergent components (see page 3, lines 11-24; page 4, lines 30-33). Atkinson also teaches a powder suitable for use as a base for a granular detergent composition or a component thereof which is prepared as above and having a pore size distribution, as measured by mercury porosimetry, of at least 300 cm 3 of pores <3.5 μm per kilogram (see page 3, lines 27-33). The crystal growth modifier is a polycarboxylate having a molecular weight of at least 1000, especially 3500 to 70,000 (see page 4, lines 28-41). In Example 5, Atkinson teaches spray-drying a slurry of sodium carbonate, sodium sulphate and sodium polyacrylate of molecular weight 3500 to give powders having a carbonate to sulphate ratio of 0.37:1 (or 1:2.7), and a polyacrylate level of 5.0 wt% and having a high liquid nonionic retention capacity (see page 11, line 40 to page 12, line 60). In Example 10, Atkinson teaches spray-dried base powder having a bulk density of 500 g/liter (see page 14, line 62 to page 15, line 21). Even though Atkinson does not explicitly disclose the size distribution of the polycarboxylate, its calcium ion capturing ability and stability constant to calcium ions, and the mode diameter of a microporous capacity distribution of the base powder, it would be inherent for the polyacrylate and base powder of Atkinson to possess the same characteristics because

Application/Control Number: 10/089,610 Page 4

Art Unit: 1751

same ingredients with overlapping proportions have been utilized. Hence, Atkinson anticipates

the claims.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The references are considered cumulative to or less material than those discussed

above.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lorna M. Douyon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1313.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lorna M. Douyon

Primary Examiner